

Ahmedahad # 106, Ramchandra House, Behind Income Tax Office, Near Dinesh Hall, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad - 380009. Ph.: 079 - 4006 9686, Fax: 079 - 4006 9696, Mob: 0-9322663557

: # Ram's Villa Apartments, Subbamma Circle, Sarakki 1st Main Road, J.P. Nagar, 1st Phase, **Bangalore**

Bangalore, Pin - 560078. Ph.: 080 - 65308709, Fax: 080 - 26649081, Mobile: 0-9880710788

Calicut SAR House, Parayancheri School Road, Parayancheri, Calicut. Pin 673016.

Ph.: 0495 - 2744506, 2743506, Fax: 0495 - 2741960, Mobile: 0-9847003724 : # G-1, Serenity Palance, New Aavadi Road, Kilpauk, Chennai, Pin - 600 010. Chennai

Ph.: 044 - 26471212, Fax: 044 - 42858582, Mobile: 0-9884044128 Chennai Airport : # 12, Old No. 6, Ground Floor, 38th Street, Nanganallur, Chennai - 600061

Ph.: +91 44 4558 8582, Fax: +91 44 42858582, Mobile: 9884044128

Cochin # 32/2251/B, Nethaji Road, Palarivattom P.O., Cochin - 682025. Ph.: 0484 - 4028286, 4028285, Fax: 0484 - 4028287, Mobile: 0-9847204920

Coimbatore Old #1/188, New # 6, NGN Street, New Sidhapudur, Coimbatore - 641 044.

Ph.: 0422 - 2525921, Fax: 0422 - 2525922, Mobile: 0-8489999225

Mobile: 0-9874496491, 9874685491 Guwahati

Flat # 201, 2nd Floor, A-13, R. K. Nivas, Indian Airlines Colony, Begumpet, Hyderabad-16, India. Hyderabad

Ph.: 040 - 66489128, Fax: 044 - 40068684, Mobile: 9849988684

: #105, First Floor, Vikram Urbane, 25A, Nr. Girdhar Mahal, Banquest Hall Mechanic Nagar, Bhamari Road, Indore Scheme No.54, Vijaynagar, Indore 452010. Tel: 07314088246 Mobile: 9322663557 /9376192696

: #A-109, Second Floor, Subash Nagar Shoping Centre, Rajastan, Jaipur-302016 Jaipur Ph.: 00141 - 2283730 Fax: 00141 - 4014248 Mobile: 0-9784061101

: 2nd Floor, 174 IG, Near Valmik Mandir, Shakti Nagar, Jalandhar. Jalandhar Ph.: 0181 - 2241400, Fax: 0181 - 4619400, Mobile: 0-9878009957

Kelkata : 1st Floor, 29, Russa Road, East Second Lane, Kolkata - 700033. Ph.: 033 - 40089425, Fax: 033 - 40089683, Mobile: 0-9874685491

: Gagan Plaza, 15/2, Second Floor, Krishna Nagar Market, Kanpur Road, Lucknow Pin - 226023. Lucknow

Ph.: 0522 - 2471981, Fax: 0522 - 4013234, Mobile: 0-8009504973

: # 304, 3rd Floor, Traffic Lite, M.G.Road, Near Bank of Baroda, Ghatkopar (W), Mumbai - 400 086. Mumbai

Ph.: 022 - 27832827, Mobile: 0-9820900214

: First Floor, Block No. F 04, Plot No.18, Shree Nagar, Anand Rajni Apartment, IT Park Road, Opp. Datta Mandir, Nagpur

Nagpur - 440022. Ph.: 0712 - 6453074, Mobile: 07276076670 Navi Mumbai

222, Commodity Exchange, Sector-19, Vashi, Navi Mumbai, Pin - 400705.

Ph.: 022 - 27848240, 27832827, Fax: 022 - 27834910, Mobile: 0-9820079034, 0-9820900214.

: # 113-A, First Floor, Shahpur Jat, Near Asian Games Village, New Delhi - 110049. New Delhi Ph.: 011 - 26494178, Fax: 011 - 41673272, Mobile: 0-9871244044.

: #8, 1st Floor, 210/A, Tarangan, Near Lokamanya Vachanalaya, Behind Chinmay Hospital, Navi Sadashiv Peth,

Pune - 411 030. India. Telephone: 020 - 65002815, Fax: 020 - 24330029, Mobile: 08087006015

E-mail: info@sarhealth.com

Website: www.sarhealth.com

IMPROVE YOUR ICSI RESULTS


UALIS SPERM SORTER

MICRO-FLUIDIC SPERM SORTING DEVICE

Doesn't require centrifugation

Recovery of sperm with normal Morphology &

Without DNA Fragmentation





How to use OUALIS

1.Preparation

- •Warm sperm washing medium to 37°C before use
- Allow semen to liquefy after ejaculation
- •Dilute liquified semen with Sperm Washing Medium at the ratio of 1:1
- •Keep sperm suspension warm at 37°C
- •Flx Qualis Sperm Sorter in a 60mm dish







2. Motile Spermatozoa Isolation


Load 100µl sperm washing medium into chamber A of Qualis Sperm Sorter (figure 1) and allow the medium to flow to whole micro channels and chamber B, C and D Figure 1 Qualis Sperm Sorter





Load 20 μl sperm washing medium into chamber C and D respectively and load 100 μl into chamber B





Allow Qualis sperm sorter to stand for 30 minutes and extract completely isolated spermatozoa from chamber C

ARTICLES PUBLISHED

Separation efficiency of a microfluidic sperm sorter to minimize sperm DNA damage

Kyoko Shirota, M.D., Ph.D., a Fusanori Yotsumoto, M.D., Ph.D., Hiroko Itoh, M.D., Ph.D., a Hirotsugu Obama, M.D., a Naomi Hidaka, M.D., Ph.D., Kyoko Nakajima, B.A., and Shingo Miyamoto, M.D., Ph.D.^a

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University; ^b IVF Nagata Clinic; and ^c Joint Laboratory for Frontier Medical Science, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan

Objective: To evaluate whether microfluidic sperm sorters (MFSSs) allow effective recovery of sorted motile sperm without DNA damage compared with the centrifugation and swim-up procedure.

Design: Experimental laboratory study. All participants completed questionnaires regarding previous and/or current diseases, surgery, reproductive experiences, lifestyle factors, and date of the preceding ejaculation.

Patient(s): Male volunteers were recruited without setting conditions. Semen samples from healthy volunteers (n = 37) were collected in sterile containers by masturbation.

Intervention(s): Flow cytometric measurement and sperm chromatin structure assay analysis of DNA damage after sperm preparation using MFSS and the centrifugation and swim-up procedure. Main Outcome Measure(s): Efficacy and efficiency of sperm preparation, correlation between sperm DNA fragmentation index (DFI)

and semen parameters, and relationship between basic characteristics and DFI after the centrifugation and swim-up procedure. Result(s): Final sperm concentration and motility were significantly different between the centrifugation and swim-up procedure and MFSS sperm preparations. A significantly lower sperm DNA fragmentation rate was detected with MFSS compared with the centrifugation and swim-up procedure use. No correlation was observed between DFI and smoking or drinking, but significant correlations were observed between DFI and medication use and sexual abstinence duration.

Conclusion(s): MFSSs can be used to efficiently and reliably prepare sperm compared with the centrifugation and swim-up procedure. Further research on the clinical use of MFSSs is required to evaluate the safety and usefulness of

this device. (Fertil Steril® 2016;105:315-21. ©2016 by American Society for Reproductive Key Words: Assisted reproductive technology, intracytoplasmic sperm injection, microfluidic

sperm sorter, sperm DNA fragmentation, sperm chromatin structure assay

Setting: University research laboratory.

Discuss: You can discuss this article with its authors and with other ASRM members at http:// fertstertforum.com/shirotak-mfss-use-sperm-separation/

Use your smartphone to scan this OR code and connect to the

Original Article Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2015 Nov, Vol-9(11): QC14-QC16

DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/10332.6811

Frequency of Sperm DNA Fragmentation According to Selection Method: Comparison and Relevance of a Microfluidic Device and a Swim-up Procedure

KANA KO KI SHI¹, HIR OMI O GATA ², SEIJI O GATA ³, YURI MIZU SAWA ⁴, ERI O KAMOTO⁵, YU KIKO MAT SUM OTO⁶, SHOJI KOKEGUCHI⁷, MAS AHIDE SHIOTANI⁸

Introduction: Multiple rounds of centrifugation or washing spermatozoa can cause sperm DNA fragmentation (SDF); however, a microfluidic approach to select spermatozoa does not require centrifugation. Reports have suggested that sperm sorting using a microfluidic device is an effective method to select good quality spermatozoa, however, it is not known whether it reduces sperm DNA damage. We investigated whether the frequency of SDF was affected by selection method during sperm processing.

Materials and Methods: Semen samples from ten men with normal, oligozoospermia and asthenozoospermia were split into

two groups and sorted using a microfluidic device or by a swimup method. Subsequently, semen parameters and SDF were measured and analyzed using paired or non-paired Student's

Results: For samples sorted by the microfluidic device (Sperm Sorter Qualis *; Menicon, Kasugai, Japan) or the swim-up method, both showed a decrease in SDF. However, the decrease was more significant when the microfluidic device was used.

Conclusion: Sorting using the microfluidic device resulted in less SDF than did the swim-up method.

Keywords: Sperm concentration, Spermatozoa, Sperm selection

